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Dear Editor: In navigating the ever-expanding realms of Artificial Intelligence (AI) across diverse domains, particularly within the purviews of Generative AI, it becomes imperative to delve into the intricate considerations of trustworthy AI components [1]. This letter aims to underscore the salient aspects of this discussion with a specific focus on the thematic areas championed by the journal.

Much like the uncertainties accompanying unprecedented events, generative AI introduces complexities and potential risks that must be addressed within the context of data mining, knowledge discovery, and machine learning [2]. Recently, the authors in [1] identified the components of trustworthy AI, featuring seven main components, each with subcomponents: human agency and oversight, technical robustness and safety, privacy and data governance, transparency, diversity, non-discrimination, and fairness, societal and environmental well-being, and finally, accountability.

In lieu of explicit examples, we can draw parallels between the potential stress and anxiety analogous to uncertain situations and users’ concerns about the reliability, transparency, and ethical considerations of generative AI systems. This pervasive lack of clarity may lead to apprehension and, in certain instances, avoidance of AI applications, particularly pertinent to the realms of big data analytics, predictive analytics, and forecasting [3]. The ethical considerations, potential biases, and consequences of AI-generated content also demand thorough evaluation within the realms of Natural Language Processing (NLP), computer vision, and image analysis [4]. As we navigate these intricacies, it is vital to remain cognizant of the multifaceted challenges faced in different applications and scenarios, especially within Social Network Analysis.

To address these concerns, we must enhance awareness regarding trustworthy AI components in Generative AI across various thematic domains. This involves educating users, developers, and decision-makers on ethical considerations, potential biases, and mechanisms ensuring transparency and accountability in AI systems [5][6]. Additionally, other theories such as Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) and fuzzy sets can be applied and/or integrated with AI to achieve trustworthiness in decision-making [7]. For example, in the problem of datasets without labeling (target), MCDM can assign and process the appropriate target for these cases in the dataset based on the formulation of several models using subjective judgment from experts [8]. In these contexts, machine learning algorithms can be applied to the processed dataset. Other examples can be found in [9][10]. Then, Generative AI can play a pivotal role in revolutionizing decision-making processes.

Such an approach aligns seamlessly with the broader focus of the journal on ethical and privacy issues in data science. In the spirit of promoting user-centric and responsible AI models, this letter calls for a comprehensive and nuanced understanding of trustworthy AI components in generative AI, with a specific emphasis on the thematic areas championed by the journal. By assimilating lessons from various domains, we contribute to the responsible development and deployment of generative AI, fostering trust, and mitigating potential risks in data engineering and data infrastructure.
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