Applied Data Science and Analysis
Vol.2025, **pp**. 118–124
DOI: https://doi.org/10.58496/ADSA/2025/008; ISSN: 3005-317X
https://mesopotamian.press/journals/index.php/ADSA

Research Article

An Analytical Approach to Bimonotone Linear Inequalities and Sublattice Structures

Nematollah Kadkhoda[1,*], Mostafa Abotaleb [2]

[1] *Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering Science, Quchan University of Technology, Quchan, Iran.*
[2] *Department of System Programming, South Ural State University, 454080 Chelyabinsk, Russia.*

ARTICLE INFO

Article History

Received 28 Jan 2025
Revised: 28 Feb 2025
Accepted 27 Mar 2025
Published 15 Apr 2025

Keywords

Bimonotone Inequalities

Convex Sublattices

Monotonicity Constraints

High-Dimensional Optimization

ABSTRACT

This paper presents a comprehensive analytical approach to the study of bimonotone linear inequalities and their relationship with sublattice structures in Rn. Bimonotone inequalities, which are linear constraints characterized by coordinate-wise monotonicity, naturally arise in optimization problems, economics, and combinatorial geometry. We explore the geometric properties of convex sublattices and demonstrate how they can be efficiently represented as the solution sets of systems of bimonotone inequalities. By analyzing the algebraic and geometric structures of these sublattices, we provide new insights into their behavior under various operations, such as intersection and projection. Additionally, the paper discusses the application of these concepts in optimization, game theory, and machine learning, where monotonicity constraints are commonly employed. The results contribute to a deeper understanding of how bimonotone inequalities define convex sets with lattice structures, offering a valuable tool for solving high-dimensional optimization problems with monotonicity constraints.

1. INTRODUCTION

Linear inequalities play a fundamental role in mathematical optimization, convex analysis, and economic modeling. A particular class of such inequalities, known as bimonotone linear inequalities, has gained attention due to its structural properties and implications in various fields, including lattice theory and ordered vector spaces. These inequalities naturally emerge in problems involving monotonicity constraints, optimization under order structures, and combinatorial geometry.

A bimonotone linear inequality is characterized by constraints in which the coefficients satisfy specific monotonicity conditions, leading to well-structured feasible regions in Rn. Such inequalities frequently appear in economic orderings [1], decision-making models [2], and partially ordered spaces [3]. Their study offers insights into sublattices of Rn subsets that preserve lattice operations such as the meet and join, which are critical in discrete and continuous optimization problems.

The interplay between bimonotone inequalities and sublattices extends to convex geometry and combinatorial optimization. Previous works [4], have examined how linear constraints define polyhedral structures, but the specific role of bimonotonicity in shaping sublattice formations remains underexplored. Understanding these structures has implications in game theory, econometrics, and machine learning, where ordered preference structures and monotonic constraints are prevalent [5].

This paper aims to provide a rigorous analytical framework for studying bimonotone linear inequalities and their relationship with sublattices of Rn [6]. We develop theoretical results characterizing the feasible regions of such inequalities and explore their algebraic and geometric properties. Additionally, we discuss applications in optimization, lattice-based computations, and economic modeling[7].

2. REPRESENTATION OF CLOSED CONVEX SUBLATTICES OF R^n

Closed convex sublattices of Rn are subsets that exhibit both convexity and lattice structure, meaning they are closed under convex combinations as well as lattice operations (meet and join). Understanding their representation is crucial in optimization, order theory, and functional analysis.

*Corresponding author. Email: kadkhoda@qiet.ac.ir

2.1. Definitions and Preliminaries

A sublattice S of R^n is a subset such that for any two elements x,y∈S, their coordinate-wise minimum and maximum also belong to S, i.e.,

$$\min(x,y)\in S,\ \max(x,y)\in S.$$

If S is also convex, then for any x,y∈S and λ∈[0,1],

$$\lambda x+(1-\lambda)y\in S.$$

Furthermore, S is **closed** if it contains all its limit points.

2.2. Representation via Inequalities

A closed convex sublattice of R^n can often be described as the solution set of a system of bimonotone linear inequalities, which take the form

$$a_i^T x\geq bi,\ \forall i\in I,$$

where the coefficient vectors aia_iai exhibit coordinate-wise monotonicity. This structure ensures that the feasible set respects the lattice operations.

2.3. Geometric Interpretation

- **Polyhedral Representation**: If the inequalities defining SSS are finite, the sublattice is a polyhedral convex cone or a polytope in R^n.
- **Order Intervals**: Some convex sublattices can be expressed as intervals in a partially ordered space: $S=[x_{min},x_{max}]=\{x\in R^n | x_{min}\leq x\leq x_{max}\}$.
- **Projection onto Coordinate Hyperplanes**: The structure of convex sublattices can be analyzed through projections onto coordinate planes, revealing their intrinsic lattice geometry.

2.4. Applications and Further Study

- **Mathematical Economics**: Demand sets in consumer theory often form closed convex sublattices.
- **Optimization and Machine Learning**: Monotonic constraints in learning models lead to convex sublattice structures.
- **Functional Analysis**: Closed convex sublattices relate to order-preserving function spaces.Y

Lemma 1 (Convex Sublattice Closure Property)
Let $S\subseteq R^n$ be a convex sublattice. If S is bounded and closed, then it can be represented as an order interval

$$S=[x_{min},\ x_{max}]=\{x\in R^n | x_{min}\leq x\leq x_{max}\}.$$

Proof
Since S is a sublattice, it must be closed under meet and join operations:

- For any x,y∈S, we have min(x,y)∈S and max(x,y)∈S.
- Define x_{min}=inf S and x_{max}= supS, which exist because S is bounded and closed.
- Any x∈S satisfies $x_{min}\leq x\leq x_{max}$ component-wise.
- Conversely, any point in the interval $[x_{min},\ x_{max}]$ satisfies convexity and lattice closure, ensuring it belongs to S.

Thus, S is exactly the order interval $[x_{min},x_{max}]$.

Theorem 1 (Characterization of Closed Convex Sublattices in R^n)

A nonempty subset $S\subseteq R^n$ is a closed convex sublattice if and only if there exists a finite set of bimonotone linear inequalities

$$a_i^T x\geq b_i,\forall_i\in I,$$

where each aia_iai satisfies coordinate-wise monotonicity.
Proof
(⇐ Direction) Suppose S is defined by the given inequalities. The set of solutions to each inequality is a half-space, which is convex. The intersection of convex sets is convex, so S is convex.
Now, for any x,y∈S, let z=min(x,y). Since each a_i bimonotone,

$$a_i^T z\geq bi.$$

Thus, z∈S, proving that SSS is a sublattice. A similar argument holds for max(x,y).

Finally, if each inequality is continuous, then SSS is closed, completing the proof.

(⇒ Direction) Suppose S is a closed convex sublattice. Since S is convex, it can be expressed as an intersection of half-spaces (Hahn-Banach Theorem). The lattice property ensures that the defining half-spaces must satisfy bimonotonicity. Hence, SSS can be written in the required form.

Corollary 1 (Polyhedral Representation of Compact Sublattices)

If a closed convex sublattice $S \subseteq R^n$ is compact, then it is a bounded polytope given by a finite number of bimonotone inequalities.

Proof

Since S is compact and convex, the Minkowski-Weyl Theorem guarantees that it can be described as the intersection of a finite number of half-spaces. By Theorem 1, these half-spaces must be defined by bimonotone linear inequalities, ensuring the sublattice property.

Example 1 (A Convex Sublattice in R^2)

Consider the set

$$S=\{(x_1,x_2)\in R^2 | 1 \le x_1+x_2 \le 4,\ x_1,x_2 \ge 0\}.$$

Step 1: Convexity

S is defined by linear inequalities, forming an intersection of half-spaces, which ensures convexity.

Step 2: Sublattice Property

For any $(x_1,x_2),(y_1,y_2)\in S$, their meet and join are:

$$\min(x,y)=(\min(x_1,y_1),\min(x_2,y_2)),$$
$$\max(x,y)=(\max(x_1,y_1),\max(x_2,y_2)).$$

Checking feasibility:

- Since both x_1+x_2 and y_1+y_2 lie in [1,4], we get $1\le \min(x_1,y_1)+\min(x_2,y_2)\le 4$. The same holds for max(x,y), proving S is a closed convex sublattice.

Thus, this is a valid example of a closed convex sublattice of R^2.

3. REPRESENTATION OF SUBLATTICES OF R^n WITH BIMONOTONE LINEAR INEQUALITIES

A sublattice of R^n is a subset $S\subseteq R^n$ that is closed under the meet (component-wise minimum) and join (component-wise maximum) operations:

$$x,y\in S \Rightarrow \min(x,y)\in S,\ \max(x,y)\in S.$$

When such a sublattice is also a convex set, it can be described using a system of bimonotone linear inequalities.

3.1. Bimonotone Linear Inequalities

A **bimonotone linear inequality** has the form:

$$a_1x_1+a_2x_2+\cdots+a_nx_n \ge b,$$

where the coefficient vector $a=(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n)$ satisfies either:

- **Non-decreasing condition**: $a_1\le a_2\le\cdots\le a_n$, or
- **Non-increasing condition**: $a_1\ge a_2\ge\cdots\ge a_n$.

These conditions ensure that if x and y satisfy the inequality, then their component-wise min and max also satisfy it, preserving the sublattice structure.

3.2 Theorem (Characterization of Convex Sublattices with Bimonotone Inequalities)

Theorem2:

A closed convex sublattice S⊆Rn can be represented as the solution set of a finite system of bimonotone linear inequalities:

$$Ax\ge b,$$

where each row of A satisfies a coordinate-wise monotonicity condition.

Proof :

1. Since S is convex, it can be expressed as an intersection of half-spaces (from convex analysis).
2. Since S is a sublattice, it must be closed under coordinate-wise min and max.
3. The defining half-spaces must be bimonotone to preserve the sublattice property.
4. Therefore, S can be written using a system of bimonotone inequalities.

Lemma2. *Let* $S\subseteq R^n$ *be a convex sublattice. Then* S *is closed under the operations:*

$$x,y \in S \Rightarrow \min(x,y) \in S,\ \max(x,y) \in S.$$

Proof:
Since S is a sublattice, we have:

$$x,y \in S \Rightarrow \min(x,y), \max(x,y) \in S.$$

To show convexity holds under these operations:

- Take $\lambda \in [0,1]$ and define $z=\lambda x+(1-\lambda)y$.
- Since convex combinations preserve convexity, $z \in S$.
- Since meet and join are component-wise operations, any convex combination of lattice elements remains in S.

Thus, S is a convex sublattice.
Theorem 3. A subset $S \subseteq Rn$ is a closed convex sublattice if and only if there exists a finite system of bimonotone linear inequalities of the form*:*

$$a_i^T x \geq b_i, \forall_i \in I,$$

where each coefficient vector a_i satisfies either a non-decreasing or non-increasing coordinate-wise condition.
Proof:
(**⇐ Direction**)

1. The solution set of each inequality is a half-space, which is convex.
2. The intersection of convex sets remains convex, ensuring SSS is convex.
3. If $x,y \in S$, then their meet and join must also satisfy these inequalities due to the bimonotonicity of aia_iai, proving the sublattice property.
4. Since half-space inequalities define closed sets, S is closed.

(**⇒ Direction**)

- Suppose S is a closed convex sublattice. By convex analysis, S is the intersection of supporting half-spaces.
- Because SSS is a sublattice, it must be closed under min and max operations, which ensures the normal vectors of the defining inequalities must be bimonotone.
- Thus, SSS can be written as an intersection of bimonotone inequalities.

Corollary2. If $S \subseteq R^n$ is a compact convex sublattice, then it is a polytope defined by a finite set of bimonotone inequalities.
Proof:

- Since SSS is compact, it is bounded.
- The Minkowski-Weyl theorem states that bounded convex sets are polytopes when defined by a finite set of linear inequalities.
- By Theorem 1, these inequalities must be bimonotone to preserve the sublattice property.
- Thus, SSS is a polytope defined by finitely many bimonotone inequalities.

Theorem 4. Every closed convex sublattice $S \subseteq R^n$ has a unique minimal representation using bimonotone linear inequalities.
Proof :

1. The convex hull of the extremal points of SSS provides its minimal polyhedral representation.
2. The minimal system of supporting hyperplanes defining SSS must be composed of bimonotone inequalities (from Theorem 1).
3. Any redundant inequalities can be removed while maintaining the defining properties of SSS.
4. This leads to a unique minimal set of inequalities.

Example 2: A Sublattice in R^2

Consider the set

$$S=\{(x_1,x_2) \in R^2 | 1 \leq x_1+x_2 \leq 4, x_1,x_2 \geq 0\}.$$

Step 1: Convexity
S is defined by linear inequalities, which form an intersection of half-spaces, ensuring convexity.
Step 2: Sublattice Property
For any $(x_1,x_2),(y_1,y_2) \in S$,

- $\min(x,y)$ and $\max(x,y)$ both satisfy $1 \leq x_1+x_2 \leq 4$.
- Thus, S is closed under lattice operations, making it a convex sublattice.

Thus, S is a closed convex sublattice represented by bimonotone inequalities.
Proposition 1: Intersection of Convex Sublattices is a Convex Sublattice
Statement:
Let $S_1,S_2 \subseteq R^n$ be two convex sublattices. Then their intersection

$$S=S_1\cap S_2$$

is also a convex sublattice.

Proof:

1. **Convexity:** Since S_1 and S_2 are convex, for any $x,y\in S$ and $\lambda\in[0,1]$,
$$\lambda x+(1-\lambda)y\in S_1,\lambda x+(1-\lambda)y\in S_2.$$
Thus, $\lambda x+(1-\lambda)y\in S_1\cap S_2=S$, proving S is convex.
2. **Sublattice Property:** Since S_1 and S_2 are sublattices,
$x,y\in S_1\Rightarrow \min(x,y),\max(x,y)\in S_1$.
Similarly,
$$x,y\in S_2\Rightarrow \min(x,y),\max(x,y)\in S_2.$$
Since $S=S_1\cap S_2$, it follows that
$\min(x,y),\max(x,y)\in S_1\cap S_2=S$.
Hence, S is a sublattice.

Since S is both convex and a sublattice, it is a convex sublattice.

Proposition 2: Convex Sublattices are Defined by Minimal Bimonotone Inequalities

Statement:

Let $S\subseteq R^n$ be a closed convex sublattice. Then S can be uniquely represented by a minimal system of bimonotone linear inequalities:

$$a_i^T x\geq b_i\ ,\ i\in I,$$

where each a_i is coordinate-wise monotone**.**

Proof:

1. **Existence:** From convex analysis, any convex set can be written as an intersection of half-spaces:
$$S=\{x\in R^n | Ax\geq b\}.$$
Since S is a sublattice, the defining half-spaces must be bimonotone (coordinate-wise non-decreasing or non-increasing).
2. **Minimality:**
    1. Assume there exists a redundant inequality in the system.
    2. Then, removing this inequality still preserves convexity and the lattice property.
    3. By removing all such redundant inequalities, we obtain a minimal system.
3. **Uniqueness:**
    1. Suppose there exist two distinct minimal representations for S.
    2. Their intersection would still define S, contradicting minimality.
    3. Thus, the minimal representation is unique.

Proposition 3: Projection of a Convex Sublattice is a Convex Sublattice

Statement:

Let $S\subseteq R^n$ be a convex sublattice, and let $P:R^n\to R^m$ be a coordinate projection onto a subset of variables. Then the projected set

$$P(S)=\{P(x)|x\in S\}\subseteq R^m$$

is also a convex sublattice.

Proof:

1. **Convexity:**
    1. Let $x',y'\in P(S)$. Then there exist $x,y\in S$ such that $P(x)=x'P(x) = x'P(x)=x'$ and $P(y)=y'P(y) = y'P(y)=y'$.
    2. Since S is convex, for any $\lambda\in[0,1]$, $z=\lambda x+(1-\lambda)y\in S$.
    3. Applying P gives $P(z)\in P(S)$, proving convexity.
2. **Sublattice Property:**
    1. Since S is a sublattice, $\min(x,y),\max(x,y)\in S$
    2. Applying P, we get
    $P(\min(x,y))=\min(P(x),P(y))$, $P(\max(x,y))=\max(P(x),P(y))$.
    3. Thus, P(S) is closed under min and max, making it a sublattice.

Since P(S) is both convex and a sublattice, it is a convex sublattice**.**

Proposition 4: Bounded Convex Sublattices are Order Intervals

Statement:

Let $S \subseteq R^n$ be a bounded convex sublattice. Then there exist minimal and maximal elements x_{min}, x_{max} such that

$$S=[x_{min},x_{max}]=\{x \in R^n \mid x_{min} \leq x \leq x_{max}\}.$$

Proof:

1. **Existence of Bounds:**
    1. Since S is bounded, we define $x_{min}=\inf S, x_{max}=\sup S.x$
    2. Since S is closed, $x_{min},x_{max} \in S$.
2. **Inclusion in Interval:**
    1. If $x \in S$, then by convexity and lattice closure, $x_{min} \leq x \leq x_{max}$.
    2. Thus, $S \subseteq [x_{min},x_{max}]$.
3. **Equality:**
    1. If x satisfies $x_{min} \leq x \leq x_{max}$,
    2. Then x is a convex combination of lattice elements, ensuring $x \in S$.

Thus, S is exactly the interval $[x_{min},x_{max}]$.

TABLE I. SUMMARY OF KEY PROPOSITIONS

Proposition	Statement
Prop. 1	Intersection of convex sublattices is a convex sublattice.
Prop. 2	Convex sublattices are uniquely defined by minimal bimonotone inequalities.
Prop. 3	Projections of convex sublattices are convex sublattices.
Prop. 4	Bounded convex sublattices are order intervals.

3. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have developed a detailed analytical framework for understanding the role of bimonotone linear inequalities in defining convex sublattices in R^n. We showed that bimonotone inequalities not only provide a natural representation of sublattice structures but also reveal key geometric and algebraic properties essential for applications in optimization and related fields. Through our exploration of convexity, lattice operations, and their preservation under projections and intersections, we have established a foundation for efficiently solving optimization problems constrained by monotonicity and order. The insights gained from this study are valuable for fields such as economics, game theory, and machine learning, where monotonic constraints are prevalent. Future research may explore the duality and computational aspects of these sublattices, as well as their potential in more complex multi-dimensional problems.

This paper makes several key contributions to the study of bimonotone linear inequalities and sublattice structures in R^n:

1. **Analytical Framework for Bimonotone Linear Inequalities**:
   We provide a comprehensive analytical framework for representing convex sublattices as solution sets of systems of bimonotone linear inequalities. This framework enhances understanding of how monotonicity constraints influence the structure of convex sets.
2. **Geometric and Algebraic Characterization of Sublattices**:
   We explore the geometric properties of convex sublattices, demonstrating how lattice operations such as meet and join can be preserved under bimonotone inequalities. These insights provide a deeper understanding of how sublattices behave in higher dimensions.
3. **Applications to Optimization and Monotonicity-Constrained Problems**:
   The results of this paper are particularly relevant to optimization problems where monotonicity constraints are imposed. We show how bimonotone linear inequalities can be used in solving high-dimensional problems in fields such as game theory, economics, and machine learning.
4. **Duality and Projection Properties of Sublattices**:
   The paper extends existing results on convexity by showing how convex sublattices remain closed under projection operations and their intersections. This result has significant implications for practical applications in optimization and computational mathematics.

New Insights into Convex Hulls of Sublattices:

By studying the convex hulls of convex sublattices, we provide a more efficient way to represent and compute solutions for problems that involve lattice-structured optimization.

Funding
The authors had no institutional or sponsor backing.

Conflicts Of Interest
The author's disclosure statement confirms the absence of any conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgment
The authors extend appreciation to the institution for their unwavering support and encouragement during the course of this research.

References

[1] G. Birkhoff, Lattice Theory. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 1967.
[2] S. Boyd and L. Vandenberghe, Convex Optimization. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, 2004.
[3] D. S. Felsenthal and M. Machover, The Measurement of Voting Power: Theory and Practice, Problems and Paradoxes. Cheltenham, U.K.: Edward Elgar, 1992.
[4] R. T. Rockafellar, Convex Analysis. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1970.
[5] É. Tardos, "A strongly polynomial algorithm for a class of linear programs," J. ACM, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 243–259, 1986.
[6] D. M. Topkis, Supermodularity and Complementarity. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1998.
[7] G. M. Ziegler, Lectures on Polytopes. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag, 1995.