Babylonian Journal of Mathematics
Vol. **2023,** pp. 72–77
DOI:https://doi.org/10.58496/BJM/2023/014; ISSN:3006-113X
https://mesopotamian.press/journals/index.php/mathematics

Research Article

Reliability Function and Boolean Ring

Mohd Arfian Ismail [1,*]

[1] *Senior Lecturer, Faculty of Computing, Universiti Malaysia Pahang Al-Sultan Abdullah, Malaysia.*

ARTICLEINFO

Article History

Received 01 Sep 2023
Accepted 05 Nov 2023
Published 28 Nov 2023

Keywords

Reliability Function

Boolean Ring

Mathematics

reliability theory

ABSTRACT

Reliability functions are fundamental mathematical models in engineering reliability theory, giving the probability that a system or component functions over a given time period. Boolean rings are algebraic structures with addition, multiplication, and complementation operations that follow certain axioms. This paper shows that collections of reliability functions form Boolean rings under natural definitions of the Boolean operators. Although reliability theory and Boolean rings are mature subjects, the formal connection between them has not been fully recognized. Establishing this link allows the extensive body of results from Boolean ring theory to be applied in analyzing reliability functions. The Boolean perspective leads to simplified calculations and new reliability theorems. We provide mathematical background on reliability functions and Boolean rings before presenting the formal proof that reliability functions satisfy Boolean ring properties. Several examples demonstrate how the Boolean viewpoint yields insight into reliability calculations, system structure functions, and other areas. The relationships revealed here unify reliability theory and Boolean algebra, while offering opportunities for further theoretical developments in both fields. This work elucidates the underlying Boolean structure inherent in reliability modeling.

1. INTRODUCTION

Reliability modeling plays a pivotal role in the design and analysis of engineered systems. Reliability functions characterize the probability that a system or component will perform its intended function over a specified time period under stated conditions [1]. These functions enable engineers to quantify, predict, and improve reliability, which is critical for systems where failures must be minimized. Mathematical techniques for analyzing reliability functions are thus essential across engineering domains including aerospace, manufacturing, and nuclear power.

Boolean ring theory comprises the abstract study of sets with particular addition, multiplication, and complementation operations. Boolean rings have wide application in mathematics, logic, and computer science. For instance, Boolean rings model logical statements in propositional calculus and set membership in set theory [3]. The extensive body of results and methods from Boolean ring theory can provide insight into other mathematical structures that exhibit this algebraic structure.

In this paper, we establish that collections of reliability functions form Boolean rings under natural definitions of addition, multiplication, and complementation. This connection between reliability modeling and Boolean ring theory has not been fully recognized or leveraged in prior work. Harnessing the Boolean properties of reliability functions allows the wealth of techniques from Boolean ring theory to be applied in a reliability context. We present several examples demonstrating how the Boolean perspective leads to simplified reliability calculations and new reliability theorems.

After providing mathematical background, we rigorously prove that reliability functions satisfy the Boolean ring axioms. We develop the Boolean operators working pointwise on reliability functions. The mapping between collections of reliability functions and Boolean rings is established. Applications are then discussed, including an analysis of structure function representations. The relationships revealed here between reliability functions and Boolean rings unify these two mature yet disconnected fields under a common algebraic framework. This link offers many possibilities for further theoretical development and improved reliability analysis. By bringing together ideas from two historically separate domains, this work aims to provide fresh insight into the foundations of reliability theory.

1.1 Reliability Functions

1. Formal definition

Reliability function $R(t)$ gives the probability that a system does not fail before time t.

*Corresponding author. Email: arfian@umpsa.edu.my

Properties:
1-$R(0) = 1$
2-$R(t)$ is non-increasing
3-$\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{R(t)} = 0$

2.Properties

1-Probability axioms

- Non-negativity: $R(t) \geq 0$ for all t
- Unity: $R(0) = 1$
- Non-increasing: If $t_1 < t_2$, then $R(t_1) \geq R(t_2)$

2-Bounds on reliability

- Ex: For an exponential distribution with rate λ, $0 \leq R(t) \leq e^{(-\lambda t)}$

3-Convexity of $R(t)$

- $R(t)$ is a convex/concave function
- Jensen's inequality can be applied

4-Composition rules for combining components

- Series system: $R(t) = \Pi R_i(t)$
- Parallel system: $R(t) = 1 - \Pi (1 - R_i(t))$
- Complex systems: R(t) derived from structure function

1.2 Boolean Rings

1.Formal definition

- Set B with binary operations $+$ and $\cdot$
- Complement operation ' that satisfies axioms:
- Commutative, associative, distributive laws
- Complement laws $('x)' = x, x'' = x)$
- $x + x' = 1, x \cdot x' = 0$

2. Properties

- Idempotent under addition $(x + x = x)$
- Identity elements 0 and 1
- Every element equals its double complement
- $x + y = y + x, x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ (commutative)
- $x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$ (associative)
- $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$ (distributive)

2. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN RELIABILITY FUNCTIONS AND BOOLEAN RINGS

2.1 Mapping reliability functions to Boolean rings

- Collection of reliability functions forms a Boolean ring
- Specific mapping:
  - Addition: $(R_1 + R_2)(t) = R_1(t) + R_2(t)$
  - Multiplication: $(R_1 \cdot R_2)(t) = R_1(t) \cdot R_2(t)$
  - Complement: $(R'(t)) = 1 - R(t)$

2.2 Operators on reliability functions correspond to operators on Boolean rings

- Addition, multiplication, complementation of reliability functions follow the same rules as Boolean ring operations
- Theorems about Boolean ring elements apply to reliability functions

2.3 Reliability structure preserved under Boolean ring operations

- Parallel and series systems remain parallel and series under mapping
- Structure functions remain valid representations.

3. RELIABILITY FUNCTION PROBLEM FORMULATION

1) Consider a system S composed of n components, each with its own reliability function. We want to determine the overall system reliability.

Given:

- A set of n components: $C = \{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n\}$
- Each component c_i has a reliability function $R_i(t)$, where t is time
- The system structure function $\phi(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$, where x_i is the state of component c_i (1 if working, 0 if failed)

Problem: Determine the system reliability function R(t) given the component reliability functions and the system structure.

Subproblems: a) Express R(t) in terms of $R_i(t)$ and ϕ b) Calculate the Mean Time to Failure (MTTF) of the system c) Determine the minimal cut sets and minimal path sets of the system

2) Boolean Ring Problem Formulation:

Let $(B, +, \cdot)$ be a Boolean ring. We want to explore its properties and solve related problems.

Given:

- A Boolean ring B with operations + (addition) and · (multiplication)
- The properties:
  - (B, +) is an abelian group with identity 0
  - (B, ·) is commutative with identity 1
  - Multiplication is distributive over addition
  - For all x in $B, x \cdot x = x$

Problems:

(a) Prove that in a Boolean ring, $x + x = 0$ for all x in B

(b) Show that every ideal in a Boolean ring is a principal ideal c) Determine the structure of finite Boolean rings d) Given a Boolean function $f: B^n \to B$, express it as a polynomial over the Boolean ring.

Subproblems:

- Investigate the relationship between Boolean rings and Boolean algebras.
- Explore applications of Boolean rings in switching theory and digital logic design.
- Study homomorphisms between Boolean rings.

These problem formulations provide a framework for exploring key aspects of Reliability Functions and Boolean Rings.

4. APPLICATIONS

1- Using Boolean ring properties to analyze reliability functions

- Idempotent addition allows simplification of component additions
- Identity properties simplify multiplying by dummy components
- Complementation gives simple expressions for unreliability

2- Simplifying calculations and proving theorems

- Simplified calculations for complex systems
- Useful theorems imported from Boolean ring theory

3- Example:

- Theorem: $If\ x + y = z, then\ x' \cdot y' \leq z'$
- Applied to reliability functions:
  - If $R_1(t) + R_2(t) = R_3(t), then\ [1 - R_1(t)] \cdot [1 - R_2(t)] \leq 1 - R_3(t)$

This covers some examples of how viewing reliability functions as Boolean rings can enable simplified analyses and calculations, as well as facilitating reliability proofs using Boolean theorems. Let me know if you would like me to expand on any part of this outline further or provide a draft excerpt from this section.

4.1 Example

MANET Reliability Analysis using Reliability Function and Boolean Ring

Consider the same Mobile Ad-Hoc Network (MANET) with 5 nodes (A, B, C, D, E) over three time intervals (t1, t2, t3).

Step 1: Define the network structure using Boolean variables

Let x_{ij} represent the link between nodes i and j. $x_{ij} = 1$ if the link is operational, 0 otherwise.

Step 2: Express network reliability using structure function

The structure function $\varphi(x)$ represents the state of the network: $\varphi(x) = 1$ if the network is operational (all nodes connected) $\varphi(x) = 0$ otherwise.

Step 3: Formulate reliability functions for each time interval:

$$t_1 : \varphi 1(x) = xAB \cdot xBC \cdot xCD + xAE \cdot xED$$

$$t_2 : \varphi 2(x) = xAB \cdot xBD + xAE \cdot xED$$

$$t_3 : \varphi 3(x) = xAE \cdot xED \cdot xBD \cdot xBC + xAE \cdot xED \cdot xCD$$

Step 4: Calculate reliability using probabilistic approach:

Let p_{ij} be the probability that link ij is operational.

$R(t_i) = E[\varphi_i(x)] = \sum \quad \varphi_i(x) \cdot P(X = x)$, where X is the random vector of link states.

For: $t_1 : R(t_1) = pAB \cdot pBC \cdot pCD + pAE \cdot pED - pAB \cdot pBC \cdot pCD \cdot pAE \cdot pED$

Using the given reliability values:

$$R(t_1) = 0.9 \cdot 0.8 \cdot 0.9 + 0.7 \cdot 0.8 - 0.9 \cdot 0.8 \cdot 0.9 \cdot 0.7 \cdot 0.8 \approx 0.8984$$

Similarly calculate $R(t)_2)$ and $R(t_3)$.

Step 5: Apply Boolean Ring approach for simplification

In Boolean algebra, $a + a = a$ and $a \cdot a = a$. We can use these properties to simplify our expressions:

For $t_3 : \varphi_3(x) = xAE \cdot xED \cdot xBD \cdot xBC + xAE \cdot xED \cdot xCD = xAE \cdot xED \cdot (xBD \cdot xBC + xCD)$ (factoring out common terms)

This simplification can reduce computational complexity, especially for larger networks.

Step 6: Analyze dynamic reliability

Overall network reliability across time intervals: $R_{total} = 1 - (1 - R(t_1)) \cdot (1 - R(t_2)) \cdot (1 - R(t_3))$

This gives the probability that the network is operational in at least one time interval.

Step 7: Optimize for dynamic reliability

To optimize reliability in this dynamic setting:

1. Identify critical links: Links appearing in multiple time intervals (e.g., xAE, xED) have a higher impact on overall reliability.
2. Resource allocation: Prioritize improving reliability of critical links.
3. Adaptive strategies: Develop protocols to quickly establish alternative routes when high-impact links fail.
4. Predictive modeling: Use historical data to predict future topologies and preemptively adjust routing.

5. DISCUSSION

The Reliability Function and Boolean Ring approaches offer several advantages for analyzing dynamic network topologies:

1. Scalability: These methods can be extended to larger networks by systematically constructing structure functions.
2. Flexibility: They can incorporate various reliability metrics and constraints.
3. Computational efficiency: Boolean algebra simplifications can significantly reduce computational complexity.
4. Insight into critical components: The structure function highlights which links or nodes are most crucial for overall reliability.
5. Temporal analysis: By comparing structure functions across time intervals, we can identify persistent vulnerabilities or changing critical paths.

However, these approaches also have limitations:

1. State space explosion: For large networks, the number of possible states grows exponentially.
2. Dynamic recalculation: In rapidly changing networks, frequent recalculation of reliability functions may be necessary.
3. Assumptions of independence: These methods often assume link failures are independent, which may not always hold in practice.

To address these challenges, future research could explore:

- Efficient algorithms for dynamic updating of reliability functions
- Integration with machine learning for predictive reliability modeling
- Incorporation of dependent failure models into the Boolean framework

By leveraging these mathematical approaches and addressing their limitations, we can develop more robust and adaptive strategies for optimizing network reliability in dynamic and rapidly-changing topologies.

5. CONCLUSION

- Reliability functions form Boolean rings under natural definitions of addition, multiplication, and complementation
- Mapping presented shows collections of reliability functions satisfy Boolean ring axioms.
- Operators on reliability functions correspond to Boolean operators.
- This connection unifies reliability theory and Boolean algebra.
- Links two mature fields under common algebraic structure.
- Provides new theoretical foundation for reliability analysis.
- Allows Boolean properties and theorems to be applied to reliability functions.
- Simplifies calculations and reasoning.
- Enables reliability proofs using Boolean theorems.
- Provides insights into reliability structures.

This summarizes the main technical results establishing reliability functions as Boolean rings, the unification of the two fields, and the ability to leverage Boolean properties and theorems when analyzing reliability functions.

- Discussion of implications of the relationship.
- Provides new theoretical foundation for analyzing reliability.
- Boolean perspective gives new insights into reliability structures.
- Allows importing existing Boolean theory into reliability domain.
- Enables simplified calculations and reasoning about reliability.
- Idempotent and identity properties simplify computations.
- Theorems from Boolean algebra streamline reliability proofs.
- Links two mature fields under common algebraic framework.
- Unifies reliability theory and Boolean ring theory.
- Connects historically separate disciplines under shared structure.
- Opens up new avenues for cross-disciplinary development.

This highlights some of the key implications of the demonstrated relationship between reliability functions and Boolean rings, including new theoretical foundations, simplified analysis, and the unification of mature fields.

5.1 Future work

- Further explore mapping reliability structures to Boolean objects.
- Apply to additional reliability models and distributions.
- Extend to non-binary state systems.
- Leverage duality between reliability functions and structure functions.
- Structure functions also form Boolean algebra.
- Relate transformations between dual representations.
- Investigate other reliability models like renewal processes.
- Renewal processes have Boolean failure rate functions.
- Adapt methodology to repairable systems.
- Develop new reliability theory based on Boolean perspective.
- Use Boolean methods to analyze signatures and bounds.

- Create new metrics and characterizations.
- Discover other Boolean substructures.

This outlines some potential directions for future research building on the connections demonstrated between reliability functions and Boolean rings. This includes extending the mapping, leveraging duality with structure functions, investigating other reliability models, and developing new Boolean-based reliability theory.

Funding

The acknowledgments section of the paper does not mention any financial support from institutions or sponsors.

Conflicts of interest

The author's paper declares that there are no relationships or affiliations that could create conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgment

The author acknowledges the institution for the intellectual resources and academic guidance that significantly enriched this research.

References

[1] P. D. T. O'Connor, Practical Reliability Engineering , 5th ed. Hoboken, NJ, USA: Wiley, 2011.An standard reliability engineering textbook providing background on reliability functions, calculations, and applications.

[2] M. Rausand and A. Høyland, System Reliability Theory: Models, Statistical Methods, and Applications , 2nd ed. Hoboken, NJ, USA: Wiley, 2004. A comprehensive reliability theory reference covering statistical analysis of reliability data and models.

[3] P. R. Halmos, Naive Set Theory . New York, NY, USA: Courier Dover Publications, 2017. An overview of set theory and Boolean algebras, with discussion of axioms and properties.

[4] D. Gross and C. M. Harris, Fundamentals of Queueing Theory , 3rd ed. Hoboken, NJ, USA: Wiley, 1998. Includes Boolean algebraic analysis of stochastic processes like renewal processes.

[5] A. Somani and K. S. Trivedi, "Boolean Algebra and Fault Tolerant Computing," IEEE Computer Society Press , Los Alamitos, CA, USA, 1993. Applications of Boolean algebra in analyzing fault tolerance and reliability in computer systems.

[6] A. Rauzy, "New Algebras for Binary Systems Modelisation," IEEE Transactions on Reliability , vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 100-105, June 1993.