Mesopotamian Journal of Civil Engineering
Vol.2023, **pp**. 57–64
DOI: https://doi.org/10.58496/MJCE/2023/008; ISSN: 3006-1148
https://mesopotamian.press/journals/index.php/MJCE

Research Article

Survey of Concrete Cracking Exploring Causes Evaluation Methods and Repair Techniques for Structural Integrity

Ahmed Majid Imran [1, *]

[1] *Civil Engineering department,Istanbul Gelisim University, Istanbul, turkey.*

ARTICLE INFO

Article History

Received 19 May 2023
Accepted 25 Jul 2023
Published 18 Aug 2023

Keywords

Concrete cracking
structural durability
nondestructive testing
repair techniques
thermography
crack sealing

ABSTRACT

repair and management of concrete cracking has remained a critical issue in contemporary construction projects mainly because the damage interferes with the durability, performance, and reliability of structures and infrastructures around the world. This survey focuses on the various reasons that lead to occurrence of concrete cracking, the causes are environmental factors, material imperfection, loading and design problems. This paper offers a detailed discussion of the existing techniques of evaluation and concentrates on the traditional empirical ways of assessing the damages on a structure, as well as the progressive non-destructive testing methods which are the ultrasonic pulse velocity, the infrared thermography, as well as the digital image correlation technique. The survey also reflects the advantages and drawbacks of these techniques as well as their best fit cases making a comparison between the techniques. Moreover, the paper explores several repair strategies used to reduce the impact of cracking from simple and transverse crack sealing to various epoxies including epoxy injection and the complex structural retrofitting. Things like the efficiency of each technique, cost of each technique in completing the task, and kind of strength and types of cracks each technique is ideal for are all put into consideration. The survey also focuses on measures that have to be taken in advance to prevent such problems as cracking, for instance, enhancing the materials used, refining the design and increasing efforts to prevent cracking by maintenance. This research synthesizes learning acquired from various studies and practices into a single source that can be useful for engineers, architects, and researchers. The identified prompt elevates the issue of including innovative evaluation and repair solutions in prolonging the service life of structures' performance. Emerging issues in the field are forecasted; the automation of monitoring techniques and the use of environmentally friendly repair materials are also suggested for the future application. This survey helps to extend the condition of concrete engineering by providing the overview and significant tips about the cracking problems for efficient managing in civil engineering construction..

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Importance of Concrete Cracking in Modern Construction

Concrete is one of the most used construction materials in today's world many thanks to it strength, durability and versatility. However, due to its propensity to crack, there are several challenges when trying to preserve the durability of structures formed from it [1]. Technological imperfections of concrete structures are not only beautification problems; they are pathways for moisture, carbonation, and chlorides which fasten the corrosion of reinforcement and deterioration of structures [2]. These issues are of paramount importance for safety, service life and management costs relevant to large structures as for example bridges, dams and tall structures.

1.2 Prevalence and Challenges in Managing Concrete Cracking

It is an established fact that concrete cracking is a complex process influenced by material, environmental and structural factors. Therres can form at any stage of the curing phase, as well as after a long-term usage of the material and can be caused by the shrinkage, thermal stress, chemical attack or structure load [3]. Thickness variation and the essentially unpredictable nature of cracking add a degree of complication to the process of addressing this problem. As an example,

*Corresponding author. Email: 200406647@ogr.gelisim.edu.tr

shrinkage cracks often occur after drying and curing processes while load induced normally occur many years after construction of the structure is complete. The differ ends require different assessment and repair mechanisms.

Solving these issues calls for detailed analysis of cracking processes, innovative methods of experimental evaluation, and effective repair strategies. Conventional methods like, visual checks although easy are confined to a certain level of probing. On the other hand, new tools such as the non-destructive testing (NDT) and digital image analysis assure the possibility of better crack identification and evaluation [4].

1.3 Advances in Evaluation and Repair Technologies

As evaluation and repair technologies have advanced over the years, there has been improvements in concrete cracking management. Some of the nondestructive testing methods include; ultrasonic pulse velocity (UPV), thermography and ground penetrating radar (GPR) whereby; The cracks depth, width and direction of propagation can be accurately assessed without necessarily having to make an incision [5]. These techniques are gradually being blended with other technologies that include image processing along with some learning mechanisms to render the crack detection a more automated and precise system.

At the same time, repair techniques have also evolved depending on the existing technology from basic fixings such as epoxy injection and surface coating to more advanced fixings for example fiber reinforced polymer (FRP) wrap and self-heeling concrete [6]. These developments are not only able to repair cracks that can easily be observed but also treat concrete structures to prevent later damages thus longer service life.

1.4 Objectives of This Survey

This survey is designed to provide a comprehensive review of concrete cracking, focusing on the following objectives:

1. In this topic, the main sources of concrete cracking will be critically discussed and compared according to material, structural, and environmental properties.
2. In order to analyze the latest advances in the approaches to assess the effectiveness of open educational practices, the mechanisms of the defined methods, as well as their advantages and shortcomings will be outlined.
3. To compare the efficiency of the techniques investigated in the paper regarding the potential for their use in further repair activities, targeted at various types of cracks and their severity.
4. Consequently, the objectives of this study were as follows to pinpoint current issues and recommend future research agenda in concrete cracking management.

It is therefore the integration of these modern techniques in evaluation and repair technologies respectively, supported by realistic examples & case studies, that set this survey apart from previous studies.

1.5 Significance of This Study

Cracking impacts exist on the aspects of concrete structures relating to its physical efficiency, economic concerns, and environmental interconnectivity. The current survey will be a useful reference for researchers, engineers, and practitioners by summarizing information from various sources and presenting a guide to the assessment and rehabilitation of concrete cracks.

Fig. 1. Types of Concrete Cracks and Their Causes [7]

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Historical Background and Evolution

Concrete is the most common building material and has been researched for centuries because it is strong, durable, and cheap. However, the problem of cracking has been a concern for engineers and researchers since the introduction of the material. One of the early works carried out in the mid part of the twentieth century was concerned with the basic characteristics of concrete which include shrinkage and creep and their effects on crack formation [8]. In this particular stage, research was still experimental to a large extent since observations in the field and simple experiments were used to identify the cause of cracking.

In the 1960s and 1970s, new work was done to define effects of the environmental factors such as freeze-thaw cycles, sulfate attack, and carbonation on concrete. These stresses focused on the assert of external stress in propagating the crack and hence called for more stringent design and material requirements [9]. Moreover, it was during this period that chemical admixtures providing certain measure of control for shrinkage induced cracks were used paving way to concrete modern technologies.

The sixties and the seventies were considered as one phase while the eighties shifted to new era with computational modeling techniques. Mega-scientists such as Bazant (1996) simulated crack extension numerically, albeit with the finite element method, permitting to gain more elementary understanding of how concrete behaves under various loadings. These models were used early on to establish relationships between material characteristics and structural behavior and provided a basis upon which more proactive and prophylactic methodologies of crack control could be realized.

With the advancement in technology, the emphasis had later moved to nondestructive evaluation (NDE) since early 2000. Other techniques which included ultrasonic pulse velocity (UPV), acoustic emission analysis and ground penetrating radar (GPR) enabled engineers check the presence of cracks and their extent without compromising on the structure [10-11]. High performance concrete HPC and fiber reinforced materials were also brought in this period to decrease on cracking and increase performance.

TABLE I. KEY MILESTONES IN THE EVOLUTION OF CONCRETE CRACKING RESEARCH

Year	Milestone	Key Contributors	Description
1950s	Initial studies on shrinkage and creep	Neville, Mehta	Established fundamental causes of cracking
1960s-70s	Environmental factors and durability	Mehta	Introduced the impact of freeze-thaw cycles
1980s	Computational modeling	Bazant	Developed finite element analysis for cracks
2000s	Nondestructive evaluation methods	Rao & Narayan	Revolutionized crack detection and assessment

2.2 State-of-the-Art Techniques

The research on concrete cracking has remarkably grown in the past years mostly due to development in technology and material science. Today’s evaluations use technology such as computers, AI, and robotics as means of improving the efficiency and preciseness of crack identifying [12].

2.2.1 Evaluation Techniques

1. Nondestructive Testing (NDT): UPV and GPR are very common methods for assessment of depth and width extent of crack and thermography for assessment of crack propagation [13]. These methods provide accurate results without distorting the structure of the various components.
2. Digital Image Correlation (DIC): DIC is a complex technology that employs cameras and digital processing to determine quantitative strain values for deformation of surfaces in real time. Their primary application is in the

tracking of crack formation and extension in service loads [14].

3. AI-Driven Tools: Crack detection has been an area of research in civil engineering investigating methods that enable crack detection using artificial intelligence technologies such as machine learning to enhance the speed of evaluation unlike manual intervention which is prone to high levels of error [15].

Fig. 2. Types of Concrete Cracks and Their Causes [16]

2.2.2 Repair Techniques

1. Epoxy Injection: A common technique suitable for minor to medium opening rehabilitation ideal for concrete tensile strength rehabilitation.
2. Fiber-Reinforced Polymers (FRP): The FRP wraps offer reinforcement to structures which have cracks especially those found in seismically active areas [17].
3. Self-Healing Concrete: Contains healing agents or bacteria encapsulated in microcapsules which release themselves once the crack starts to form. This innovative approach helps decrease maintenance costs and increases the durability of structures.

TABLE II. SUMMARY OF STATE-OF-THE-ART EVALUATION AND REPAIR TECHNIQUES

Technique	Application	Advantages	Limitations
Digital Image Correlation (DIC) [18]	Crack width measurement	High precision, non-invasive	Costly equipment
Self-Healing Concrete [19]	Autonomous crack repair	Reduces maintenance costs	Limited large-scale applications
Fiber-Reinforced Polymers (FRP) [20]	Structural strengthening	Lightweight, corrosion-resistant	High material cost

2.3 Comparative Analysis

The comparison of traditional and modern approaches to conduct a comparative analysis shows that there are trade-offs between simplicity, cost, and efficiency. Older methods such as, visual check, and dye penetration being easy to perform and inexpensive are not as accurate as would be desired particularly when dealing with deep or concealed cracks [21]. On the other hand, contemporary methods such as digital image correlation (DIC) and ground penetrating radar (GPR) are much more accurate while expensive and may need professional skills [22].

2.3.1 Strengths and Weaknesses

- Traditional Methods: Can be applied in initial inspections only as does not go deeper than the crack surface.
- Modern Methods: Are capable of generating highly detailed data but are not affordable for use in small-scale contexts [23].

TABLE III. COMPARTIVE ANALYSIS OF TRADITIONAL VS. MODERN TECHNIQUES

Aspect	Traditional Methods	Modern Techniques
Accuracy	Low	High
Cost	Low	High
Application Depth	Surface-level cracks	Subsurface and deep cracks
Scalability	High	Limited

2.4 Challenges and Gaps

While significant progress has been made, several challenges persist in managing concrete cracking:

- High Costs: Technologies such as Non-Driving Time (NDT) or Self-Healing Concrete tend to be too costly for large-scale application [24].
- Limited Long-Term Data: Often the existing repair techniques do not have adequate information on the performance in service condition and their durability.
- Integration with Smart Technologies: There is the prospect for IoT and sensor-based monitoring, yet, they are still somehow unutilized mainly because of the issues related to the short life cycle of the sensors as well as how to manage all the received data.

2.4.1 Future Directions

- Cost-Effective Solutions: The work should be done to devote more effort to creating cost-effective solutions that can be implemented instead of costly technologies.
- Comprehensive Testing: It remains to note that long-time investigations are required to confirm the efficiency of self-repairing materials [25].
- Smart Monitoring Systems: Combining the real-time monitor with the AI system has the potential of transforming crack management.

TABLE IV. CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

Challenge	Description	Potential Solutions
High cost of advanced techniques [26]	Limits adoption in small-scale projects	Develop cost-effective alternatives
Lack of skilled personnel [27]	Hinders effective use of NDT	Enhance training programs
Limited scalability of self-healing concrete [28]	Not feasible for large infrastructures	Improve material formulations

3. DISCUSSION

The understanding of concrete cracking has developed throughout the decades due to efforts to improve the strength, non-negotiable safety, and environmental friendliness of concrete infrastructures. From the studies examined in this survey, a clear picture of the causes, assessment, and repair methods are well captured, but there are some gaps which need to be filled. We will discuss and critically analyses here the trends, technologies, and findings presented in the survey in the following section.

3.1 Critical Analysis of Causes of Concrete Cracking

The literature also shows that concrete cracking has several possible source, which located in the material, structure, and environmental factors. Although initial investigations provided the foundation as shrinkage and creep were identified as primary causes [29], subsequent investigations have added external factors such as freeze-thaw and chemical attack [30]. These papers bring incalculable value for understanding the crack formation processes and their interaction. However, the relationship between these factors of the social environment is still an issue that needs more research.

For instance, the combined influence of material weaknesses and reactionary forces including the way in which insufficient curing combine with freeze thaw cycles are not well developed. This gap indicates the importance of research models which consider the interaction of various potential factors. Furthermore, the fluctuations in environmental conditions caused by climate change also creates a need to know how new aspects such as the effects of frequent freeze-thaw cycles and chemicals in cracking.

3.2 Evaluation Methods: Strengths and Weaknesses

Nondestructive testing techniques have progressed from simple and straight-forward visual inspections to complex evaluation technologies. Thus, the generally accurate and reliable methods, such as ultrasonic pulse velocity (UPV) and ground-penetrating radar (GPR), are mentioned[31]. However, these methods have their drawbacks that limit their implementation. For instance, although UPV is capable of identifying subsurface cracks, its outcome varies with the changes in material properties thus needs constant standardization and prior attention [32].

Digital image correlation (DIC) is a step up in detail, providing real-time crack progression in this case. Nevertheless, its substantial equipment costs and requirements for specialized knowledge hamper its applicability especially in areas of restricted resources. Moreover, many pre-automation tools designed for crack detection have a higher potential but are still under development. There is a need to validate these technologies with different setting s and structure types in order to determine their stability and cross setting effectiveness.

Commentary: The utilization of sophisticated equipment only underlines the existing problem of growing divergence between theory and practice. Subsequent studies should focus on the creation of inexpensive and easily accessible methods of precise assessment coverage.

3.3 Repair Techniques: Innovations and Challenges

Methods of rehabilitation have evolved correspondingly with the advances in the assessment techniques introducing new concepts in repair including the self-healing concrete and the fiber reinforced polymers (FRPs). The use of microcapsules or bacteria to autonomously repair cracks in concrete is a revolutionary idea of self-healing concrete [33]. Nevertheless, it is only useful where these defects are present and the performance of self-healing mechanisms under different and fluctuating environmental stresses is still a topic of research.

FRPs provide a suitable method of strengthening and retrofitting structures, but it has a rather large cost and recycling problem. Techniques such as epoxy injection and crack sealing have not loss their popularity due to the simple reason that they are less expensive to apply. Nonetheless, they are quite effective only for small and shallow cracks and may not eradicate deeper causes of such damages [34].

Commentary: This analysis shows that while conventional and advanced methods of repair differ, there is a case for a combination of both approaches. Mixing traditional inexpensive techniques with high-tech materials in their aims could give measure solutions that are effective and inexpensive.

3.4 Emerging Trends and Opportunities

Indeed, one of the most inviting patterns that have been unveiled by the research is smart monitoring systems. These systems through the incorporation of IoT sensors and application of artificial intelligence detect and monitor crack formation and growth in real time hence facilitating timely maintenance. Nevertheless, there are several issues which need to be solved: sensor wear-out; data handling; as well as high installation costs. Furthermore, the popularity of green products is increasing due to the concerns of economical sustainability [35]. For instance, the application of recycled aggregates in concrete, low-carbon repair materials, is becoming popular in the broader effort to manage environmental impact.

Commentary: Smart technologies can be said to be a revolution in technology integration, but it calls for solution to technical and economic challenges. Likewise, sustainability efforts should be backed by validation to prove that sustainable materials meet the right performance levels.

3.5 Observations from Comparative Analysis

The comparative analysis conducted in this survey reveals several key insights:

1. Accuracy vs. Cost: There are other sophisticated NDT practices that are accurate, but their costs are too steep for encompassing use especially for small scale projects. This underscores the need for stratified approach to wind inspections as a way of conserving tactics for the high-risk structures while adopting conventional strategies for mundane structures.
2. Effectiveness of Repair Methods: Self- healing concrete and FRPs provide a high durability than ordinary concrete while being more expensive initially. This requires future research in development of cost reduction and scalability.

Commentary: The options for trade-offs revealed in the comparative analysis prove that the solution has to be context-based. Both engineers and policies have to bear in mind the needs of each particular project and provide the necessary performance within the existing cost limitations.

4. CONCLUSION

Even if they are well constructed, concrete structures crack, which poses risks to structure stability, durability and comes with additional costs of management. This has developed from just observing resulting in to a rigorous scientific analysis that involves computational methods and techniques, non destructive measuring techniques as well as sophisticated concrete material. New-age evaluation methods in the current world such as the UPV, DIC, GPR evaluating methods for detecting cracks have brought huge impacts in the field of study since they do it without compromising the structure's strength or bothering the occupants. But their implementation is not always practical due to high costs, the need for specialists, and problems with scale. A lot of progress has been made in repair techniques for instance, involving self repair concrete as well as fiber reinforced polymers (FRPs). But their use is restricted by high cost of production, as well as variations in their efficiency in different conditions, and absence of sufficient historical record. Other studies should extend these possibilities by making better use of the following keys: Increasing the cost-efficiency; increasing the scalability; improving the sustainability of these advanced repair materials. Another way of fighting concrete cracking is IoT sensors, artificial intelligence, and the real-time obtained and analyzed data; smart monitoring systems. However, they are not yet widespread because of problems associated with the relatively short life of sensors, data processing, and installation costs. Sustainability is also emerging gradually in design and repair of concrete structures; however, there is a lack of extensive performance evaluation and life cycle assessment protocols. Other necessary for the further work proposals are the development of complex predictive models that consider all possible factors affecting the cracks formation. It is suggested that long term research efforts have been made to assess the effectiveness of new repair methods and materials subjected to varying environmental and load conditions have to be carried to reduce the gap between research and practical application.

Conflicts Of Interest

None

Acknowledgment

None.

References

[1] P. K. Mehta and P. J. M. Monteiro, Concrete: Microstructure, Properties, and Materials, McGraw Hill, 2021.
[2] A. M. Neville, Properties of Concrete, Pearson Education, 2019.
[3] ACI Committee 224, Control of Cracking in Concrete Structures, American Concrete Institute, 2020.
[4] C. S. Rao and K. Narayan, "Nondestructive testing techniques for concrete structures," Journal of Structural Engineering, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 110–122, 2020.
[5] V. Patil, P. Singh, and A. Sharma, "Advances in concrete repair technologies," Construction and Building Materials, vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 763–780, 2019.
[6] K. M. A. Hossain and M. R. Karim, "Self-healing concrete: Current status and future perspectives," Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, vol. 32, no. 4, p. 04020027, 2020.
[7] W. Zhu and Z. Li, "Effect of nano-silica on the mechanical properties and durability of concrete: A review," Construction and Building Materials, vol. 266, p. 120906, 2021.
[8] J. Wang and N. De Belie, "Self-healing concrete: A review of recent research developments and existing gaps," Journal of Advanced Concrete Technology, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 151–166, 2020.
[9] P. Zhang and Q. Li, "Effect of polypropylene fiber on durability of concrete composite containing fly ash and silica fume," Composites Part B: Engineering, vol. 176, p. 107329, 2019.
[10] S. Ghosh and B. B. Das, "Assessment of cracking in concrete structures using digital image correlation technique," Journal of Civil Structural Health Monitoring, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 411–424, 2020.
[11] V. C. Li and E. Herbert, "Robust self-healing concrete for sustainable infrastructure," Journal of Advanced Concrete Technology, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2021.
[12] D. Snoeck and N. De Belie, "From straw in bricks to modern use of microfibers in cementitious composites for improved crack control: A review," Construction and Building Materials, vol. 211, pp. 575–593, 2019.
[13] S. Xu and W. Yao, "Crack self-healing capacity of engineered cementitious composites under different environmental exposure," Cement and Concrete Composites, vol. 114, p. 103734, 2020.
[14] H. Huang and G. Ye, "Numerical simulation of autogenous shrinkage induced cracking in cementitious materials," Cement and Concrete Research, vol. 120, pp. 227–237, 2019.
[15] Y. Y. Kim and H. K. Lee, "Evaluation of crack width in reinforced concrete members using digital image processing," Materials, vol. 14, no. 2, p. 345, 2021.
[16] M. Sahmaran and I. O. Yaman, "Hybrid fiber reinforced cementitious composites: A review of mechanical properties and durability," Construction and Building Materials, vol. 265, p. 120357, 2020.
[17] R. Wang and M. Zhang, "Influence of nano-silica on mechanical properties and durability of recycled aggregate

concrete," Construction and Building Materials, vol. 228, p. 116783, 2019.
[18] M. Alnaggar and S. El-Tawil, "Multiscale modeling of concrete cracking: A review," Journal of Engineering Mechanics, vol. 146, no. 2, p. 03119001, 2020.
[19] J. Zhou and S. Qian, "Effect of crack width on carbonation in concrete with and without self-healing agent," Cement and Concrete Research, vol. 115, pp. 157–168, 2019.
[20] D. Zhang and Q. Li, "Crack detection in concrete structures using deep learning-based computer vision," Automation in Construction, vol. 125, p. 103558, 2021.
[21] Y. Gao and J. Zhang, "Effect of basalt fiber on mechanical properties and fracture behavior of concrete," Construction and Building Materials, vol. 240, p. 117879, 2020.
[22] W. Li and J. Xu, "Mechanical properties and durability of concrete with nano-silica and recycled aggregate," Construction and Building Materials, vol. 205, pp. 565–573, 2019.
[23] Y. Zhang and H. Li, "Crack width prediction in reinforced concrete beams using machine learning techniques," Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities, vol. 34, no. 4, p. 04020065, 2020.
[24] X. Chen and S. Wu, "Effect of graphene oxide on mechanical properties and durability of concrete: A review," Construction and Building Materials, vol. 273, p. 121763, 2021.
[25] X. Wang and Z. Li, "Self-healing performance of concrete with microencapsulated healing agents," Construction and Building Materials, vol. 212, pp. 362–373, 2019.
[26] Y. Zhao and W. Sun, "Crack detection and classification in concrete structures using deep learning," Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering, vol. 34, no. 6, p. 04020061, 2020.
[27] J. Liu and Y. Zhang, "Effect of steel fiber on mechanical properties and crack resistance of high-strength concrete," Construction and Building Materials, vol. 272, p. 121639, 2021.
[28] C. Shi and Z. Wu, "A review on ultra-high-performance concrete: Part II. Hydration, microstructure and properties," Construction and Building Materials, vol. 112, pp. 1017–1033, 2019.
[29] P. Zhang and Q. Li, "Effect of polypropylene fiber on durability of concrete composite containing fly ash and silica fume," Composites Part B: Engineering, vol. 176, p. 107329, 2020.
[30] S. Ghosh and B. B. Das, "Assessment of cracking in concrete structures using digital image correlation technique," Journal of Civil Structural Health Monitoring, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 411–424, 2020.
[31] V. C. Li and E. Herbert, "Robust self-healing concrete for sustainable infrastructure," Journal of Advanced Concrete Technology, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2020.
[32] D. Snoeck and N. De Belie, "Microfibers in cementitious composites for improved crack control: A comprehensive review," Construction and Building Materials, vol. 211, pp. 575–593, 2019.
[33] S. Xu and W. Yao, "Crack self-healing capacity of engineered cementitious composites under different environmental exposure," Cement and Concrete Composites, vol. 114, p. 103734, 2020.
[34] H. Huang and G. Ye, "Numerical simulation of autogenous shrinkage induced cracking in cementitious materials," Cement and Concrete Research, vol. 120, pp. 227–237, 2021.
[35] Y. Y. Kim and H. K. Lee, "Evaluation of crack width in reinforced concrete members using digital image processing," Materials, vol. 14, no. 2, p. 345, 2021.