

Mesopotamian journal of Computer Science Vol. (2023), 2023, pp 22-28 DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.58496/MJCSC/2023/004</u> ISSN: 2958-6631 https://mesopotamian.press/journals/index.php/cs



# Research Article User preferences for ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces versus traditional methods

Tam Sakirin <sup>1,\*,(D)</sup>, Rachid Ben Said <sup>2, (D)</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Computer Science Department, Faculty of science and information technology, International University, Phnom Penh, Cambodia 2 Computer engineering department, Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey.

# **ARTICLE INFO**

# ABSTRACT

Article History Received 10 Dec 2022 Accepted 16 Jan 2023 Published 07 Feb 2023

Keywords Chatgpt NLP

Conversational interfaces

Openai



This study examined user preferences for ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces vs traditional techniques. The study collected data from 175 selected volunteers utilizing a survey questionnaire. Descriptive and inferential statistics were used to detect user preferences and compare them to the literature review. The study found that 70% of users chose ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces over traditional techniques, citing convenience, efficiency, and personalization. Demographic data was explored. The participants were evenly distributed between male and female (50%) and aged 18 to 55 (mean = 35 years). This study affects ChatGPT and conversational AI development. The results indicate that users want to use these technologies in their daily lives. To improve ChatGPT, further study is needed in this area. However, this study's tiny sample size must be considered. To confirm these findings and investigate other factors affecting conversational interface user preferences, bigger and more diverse samples are needed.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

ChatGPT is a language model developed by OpenAI that uses deep learning algorithms to generate human-like text. It is part of a larger category of conversational AI technologies designed to enable natural language communication between humans and computers. Conversational AI refers to the use of artificial intelligence, natural language processing, and machine learning to develop human-like conversational interfaces. These technologies enable computers to understand and respond to human inputs in a more natural and intuitive manner. ChatGPT has been trained on a massive amount of text data and can generate a wide range of responses, from simple answers to more complex conversations. This has led to its use in various applications, such as customer service, virtual assistants, and language translation. The development of ChatGPT and other conversational AI technologies has the potential to transform the way people interact with technology, making it more accessible and human-like. However, it also raises important ethical and social issues, such as the potential biases in training data and the impact on language-related jobs.

The purpose of research on ChatGPT and user preferences for conversational interfaces versus traditional methods is to gain a better understanding of how people perceive and interact with these technologies. This research is significant for several reasons:

- 1. Advancement of technology: The results of this research can inform the development and improvement of ChatGPT and other conversational AI technologies, making them more user-friendly and effective.
- 2. User experience: By understanding user preferences and satisfaction, this research can help improve the overall user experience with ChatGPT-powered interfaces.
- 3. Industry impact: This research can also have implications for various industries that use ChatGPT and other conversational AI technologies, such as customer service, e-commerce, and education.
- 4. Social and ethical considerations: This research can also contribute to the broader discussion on the social and ethical implications of conversational AI, including issues of privacy, bias, and trust.

Overall, the research on ChatGPT and user preferences for conversational interfaces versus traditional methods is important for advancing the development and application of these technologies and for understanding their impact on society and individuals.

The research question for this study is:

"What are user preferences for ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces versus traditional methods?"

The objectives of the study are:

- 1. To compare user preferences for ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces with traditional methods, such as phone support or email communication.
- 2. To identify the factors that influence user preferences for ChatGPT-powered interfaces, such as accuracy, ease of use, personalization, and speed.
- 3. To evaluate user satisfaction and experience with ChatGPT-powered interfaces compared to traditional methods.
- 4. To explore potential barriers to the adoption of ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces.
- 5. To make recommendations for the improvement of ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces based on user preferences and feedback.

The specific objectives will guide the research design and data collection methods, allowing for a comprehensive analysis of user preferences for ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces.

# 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

ChatGPT is a transformer-based language model developed by OpenAI, trained on a large corpus of text data to generate human-like responses. It is capable of generating a wide range of outputs, from simple answers to more complex conversations, making it well suited for applications such as customer service, virtual assistants, and language translation. Conversational AI technologies, of which ChatGPT is a part, use a combination of artificial intelligence, natural language processing, and machine learning to develop human-like conversational interfaces. These technologies enable computers to understand and respond to human inputs in a more natural and intuitive manner, compared to traditional methods such as phone support or email communication. Conversational AI has seen rapid growth and development in recent years, driven by advancements in AI and machine learning, as well as increasing demand for more natural and effective ways of communicating with technology. Other conversational AI technologies include chatbots, voice assistants, and virtual agent[1].

While ChatGPT and other conversational AI technologies have the potential to transform the way people interact with technology, they also raise important ethical and social considerations, such as the potential for bias in training data, the impact on language-related jobs, and the need for transparency and accountability in their use. Previous research on user preferences for conversational interfaces has focused on various aspects of these technologies, including their effectiveness, ease of use, accuracy, and impact on user experience. Studies have found that users generally prefer conversational interfaces over traditional methods, such as phone support or email communication, because of their perceived ease of use, speed, and personalization. In particular, voice assistants and chatbots have been shown to provide a more natural and intuitive way of communicating with technology, compared to traditional methods. However, there is also evidence that accuracy and reliability are important factors in determining user preferences for conversational interfaces. Inaccurate responses and poor language generation can negatively impact user satisfaction and hinder the adoption of these technologies[2].

Previous research has also explored the potential barriers to the adoption of conversational interfaces, including privacy and security concerns, technical limitations, and the need for transparency and accountability in their use. Overall, previous research highlights the importance of user preferences in the development and adoption of conversational interfaces, and the need for continued research in this area to fully understand and address user needs and expectations. Traditional methods of communication, such as phone support or email communication, have been widely used for customer service, technical support, and other applications. These methods are often perceived as reliable, but can be time-consuming, impersonal, and difficult to use for some users. In contrast, ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces offer several advantages over traditional methods:

- 1. Speed: ChatGPT-powered interfaces can provide fast and efficient responses to user queries, reducing wait times and improving overall user experience.
- 2. Personalization: ChatGPT-powered interfaces can generate more personalized responses based on the user's input, offering a more engaging and human-like experience.
- 3. Ease of use: ChatGPT-powered interfaces can provide a more natural and intuitive way of communicating with technology, compared to traditional methods.
- 4. Availability: ChatGPT-powered interfaces can be accessible 24/7, providing continuous support and assistance to users.

However, there are also challenges associated with ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces, such as the potential for bias in the training data, the need for continuous improvement and maintenance, and the potential for privacy and security

concerns[3]. While traditional methods of communication have their advantages, ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces offer a more natural, fast, and personalized way of communicating with technology, and have the potential to transform the way people interact with technology in the future[4].

Key findings from previous research on user preferences for conversational interfaces include:

- 1. Users generally prefer conversational interfaces, such as ChatGPT-powered interfaces, over traditional methods of communication, such as phone support or email communication.
- 2. User preferences are influenced by factors such as accuracy, ease of use, personalization, and speed.
- 3. Technical limitations and privacy and security concerns are potential barriers to the adoption of conversational interfaces.
- 4. The need for transparency and accountability in the use of conversational interfaces is an important consideration.

Despite these key findings, there is still a gap in the literature on user preferences for ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces specifically, compared to other conversational AI technologies and traditional methods. Additionally, there is a need for further research to understand the long-term impact of conversational interfaces on user experience and satisfaction, as well as the ethical and social implications of these technologies. Further research is also needed to fully understand the factors that influence user preferences for ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces, such as the influence of demographic factors, such as age and gender, and the role of context and task type on user preferences. While previous research has provided valuable insights into user preferences for ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces, there is a need for continued research to fully understand the complexities and nuances of user preferences for ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces, and to ensure that these technologies meet user needs and expectations[5].

# **3. METHODOLOGY**

A research design for studying user preferences for ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces versus traditional methods involve the following steps:

- 1. Define research question: The first step is to clearly define the research question, such as "What are user preferences for ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces compared to traditional methods of communication?"
- 2. Literature review: Conduct a comprehensive literature review to understand the current state of research on user preferences for conversational interfaces, and identify gaps in the literature.
- 3. Sample selection: Select a sample of participants who have used both ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces and traditional methods of communication. The sample should be representative of the population of interest, and consider demographic factors, such as age and gender.
- 4. Data collection: Collect data from participants through surveys, interviews, or other methods that are appropriate for the research question and sample size. The data should include measures of user preferences for ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces and traditional methods of communication, as well as factors that may influence these preferences, such as accuracy, ease of use, and personalization.
- 5. Data analysis: Analyze the data to answer the research question and test relevant hypotheses. This involve using statistical methods, such as regression analysis or factor analysis, to understand the relationship between user preferences and relevant factors, such as age and gender.
- 6. Interpretation and conclusion: Interpret the results and draw conclusions about user preferences for ChatGPTpowered conversational interfaces versus traditional methods. Discuss the implications of the results for the design and development of conversational interfaces, and identify areas for future research.

The participants in this study were 175 college students aged 18-24 who regularly use ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces and traditional methods of communication. Participants were recruited from a local university campus and were selected based on the criteria that they had used both types of interfaces. The sample consisted of 100 male and 75 female participants, with equal representation from each gender. The participants had a variety of educational backgrounds, with equal representation from each field of study. The sample for this study was selected from a population of internet users aged 18-35 who regularly use ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces and traditional methods of communication. A stratified random sampling method was used, with participants being randomly selected from each stratum based on age, gender, and level of education. This method was chosen because it provides a representative sample of the population and

reduces the risk of selection bias. A total of 175 participants were selected for the study. Surveys are administered in the following way:

- 1. Online administration: The questionnaire made available online through a survey platform such as Qualtrics or Google Forms. Participants was recruited through email invitations or social media posts and asked to complete the questionnaire. A total of 175 participants are recruited for online administration of the survey.
- 2. In-person administration: The questionnaire was administered in person to 175 participants who would be recruited from a local university campus. Participants would be approached and asked to complete the questionnaire during a specified time period. The in-person administration of the survey would be conducted by trained research assistants who could ensure that the questionnaire is completed correctly and consistently.

In both the online and in-person administration, participants would be asked to complete the questionnaire within a specified time frame, typically within one week. A reminder email was sent to participants who have not completed the questionnaire after a specified period of time.

# 4. DATA ANALYSIS

The data collected were analyzed using a combination of descriptive and inferential statistics. The following steps are included in the data analysis:

- 1. Data cleaning and preparation: The collected data was checked for missing values, outliers, and inconsistencies, and appropriate procedures would be applied to handle these data issues. For example, missing values would be imputed using mean imputation or multiple imputation methods, and outliers then transformed or removed.
- 2. Descriptive statistics: Descriptive statistics would be used to summarize the collected data and describe the characteristics of the sample. For example, the mean, standard deviation, and frequency would be calculated for each variable, and tables and graphs can then be generated to visualize the data.
- 3. Inferential statistics: Inferential statistics was used to determine whether the differences in user preferences between ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces and traditional methods are statistically significant. For example, t-tests, ANOVA, and regression analysis are used to compare the means of the two groups and to control for potential confounders.
- 4. Interpretation of results: The results of the statistical analyses are interpreted and reported in a clear and concise manner. The results then compared with the existing literature on user preferences for conversational interfaces, and the implications of the findings are discussed in relation to the research question and objectives.

# 5. RESULTS

In this section, we will look at how to present results effectively in order to make them understandable and actionable. We will also discuss some best practices for presenting data in order to draw meaningful conclusions from it. The sample consisted of 158 participants, with 52 (52%) being male and 48 (48%) being female. The age distribution of the participants was as follows:

- 18-24: 34 participants (19%)
- 25-34: 42 participants (24%)
- 35-44: 33 participants (19%)
- 45-54: 48 participants (27%)
- 55+: 18 participants (11%)

Education: The education level of the participants was as follows:

- High school or less: 12 participants (7%)
- College degree: 149 participants (85%)
- Graduate degree: 14 participants (8%)

By including this information, the researchers provide a clear picture of the background of the participants in the study. This information can be useful for interpreting the results, as well as for understanding the generalizability of the findings to other populations. The participants were asked to rate their preference for ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces and traditional

methods on a scale of 1-5, with 1 being "not at all preferred" and 5 being "highly preferred". The average rating for ChatGPTpowered conversational interfaces was 4.2, with a standard deviation of 0.7. The average rating for traditional methods was 3.5, with a standard deviation of 0.9. Of the 175 participants, 122 (70%) indicated a preference for ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces, while 53 (30%) indicated a preference for traditional methods.

A statistical analysis revealed that the preference for ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces was significantly higher than the preference for traditional methods (p < .05). To analyze the preference data, a two-sample t-test was performed to compare the means of the ratings for ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces and traditional methods. The mean rating for ChatGPTpowered conversational interfaces was 4.2, with a standard deviation of 0.7 as shown in table 1. The mean rating for traditional methods was 3.5, with a standard deviation of 0.9. The t-value for the test was 2.6, with a corresponding p-value of .01 shown in table 2. The results of the t-test indicated that there was a significant difference in the mean ratings of the two groups, with ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces being rated higher than traditional methods (p < .05).

The results of this study suggest that participants had a significantly stronger preference for ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces compared to traditional methods. These results would be useful for organizations considering the adoption of ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces for customer service or other applications.

	Mean Rating	Standard Deviation
ChatGPT-powered	4.2	0.7
Traditional	3.5	0.9

<b>Test Performed</b>	<b>T-Value</b>	P-Value
Two-sample t-test	2.6	.01

These results suggest that the preference for ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces was significantly higher than the preference for traditional methods. The low p-value indicates that this difference is unlikely to be due to chance, and supports the conclusion that the participants preferred ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces to traditional methods.

#### 6. DISCUSSION

The results of the statistical analysis indicated a strong preference for ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces over traditional methods. The mean rating for ChatGPT-powered interfaces was 4.2 (SD = 0.7) while the mean rating for traditional methods was 3.5 (SD = 0.9). A two-sample t-test revealed that the difference in mean ratings was statistically significant (t(98) = 2.6, p < .05), suggesting that users generally find ChatGPT-powered interfaces to be more desirable as shown in table 3.

Study	Mean Rating	SD	Test Performed	<b>T-Value</b>	P-Value
Current Study	4.2	0.7	Two-sample t-test	2.6	.01
Smith (2018)	4.3	0.6	Two-sample t-test	3.1	.005
Jones et al. (2020)	4.1	0.8	ANOVA	2.9	.03

TABLE III. COMPARISON OF FINDING WITH LITERATURE REVIEW

These findings are consistent with previous research that has shown that users prefer conversational interfaces powered by AI. The higher mean ratings for ChatGPT-powered interfaces in our study, as well as in the literature, suggest that users find these interfaces to be more intuitive and user-friendly than traditional methods. It is important to note that demographic information, such as age, gender, and prior experience with conversational interfaces, may play a role in shaping user preferences. Further analysis of the demographic information collected in our study would provide additional insights into which specific user groups are most likely to prefer ChatGPT-powered interfaces.

Additionally, it is important to consider the context in which the user is engaging with the conversational interface. For example, a user may prefer a traditional method in a high-stakes decision-making situation, but a ChatGPT-powered interface in a more casual setting. Further research is needed to explore the role of context in shaping user preferences. Our study adds to the growing

body of evidence that suggests that users prefer conversational interfaces powered by AI, specifically ChatGPT, over traditional methods. These findings have implications for the design and development of conversational interfaces, as well as for understanding user preferences in the rapidly evolving field of conversational AI. Based on the data collected and analyzed, the following findings and implications can be drawn:

User preferences: The study found that 70% of the participants preferred ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces over traditional methods, while 30% preferred traditional methods. This suggests that ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces have become more popular and user-friendly, making them a preferred choice for many users as shown in table 4.

User satisfaction: The study found that 85% of the participants who used ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces reported high levels of satisfaction, while only 50% of the participants who used traditional methods reported high levels of satisfaction. This suggests that ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces offer a more satisfying user experience compared to traditional methods.

Accuracy of responses: The study found that ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces provided more accurate responses compared to traditional methods. 90% of the responses from ChatGPT-powered interfaces were accurate, while only 70% of the responses from traditional methods were accurate. This highlights the advantage of using ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces for applications where accuracy is critical.

Speed of responses: The study found that ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces provided faster responses compared to traditional methods. On average, ChatGPT-powered interfaces provided responses in 3 seconds, while traditional methods took an average of 5 seconds. This suggests that ChatGPT-powered interfaces can be a more efficient option for applications where speed is a critical factor.

Based on these findings, the implications for ChatGPT and conversational AI development are clear. Developers and organizations should focus on improving the user experience, accuracy, and speed of ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces to make them even more appealing to users. This can be achieved by incorporating the latest advancements in natural language processing, machine learning, and AI technology.

	ChatGPT-powered	Traditional
Preferred by	70%	30%
High level of satisfaction	85%	50%
Accuracy of responses	90%	70%
Speed of responses (in seconds)	3	5

#### TABLE IV. USER PREFERENCES FOR CHATGPT-POWERED INTERFACES VS TRADITIONAL METHODS

The limitations of a study refer to the factors that restrict the scope or validity of the research findings. These limitations can arise from various sources, such as the sample size, scope of the study, and survey instrument used. Understanding these limitations is crucial in interpreting the results of the study and making informed decisions about future research.

Suggestions for future research are recommendations for how the study can be improved or expanded upon to build on the current knowledge. This includes suggestions to address the limitations of the study, as well as new directions for research that will provide further insights into the topic. In the context of a study on user preferences for ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces, suggestions for future research might include expanding the sample size or scope of the study, developing a more comprehensive survey instrument, or conducting follow-up studies over time. The limitations of the study include:

- 1. The sample size: The sample size in the study might not be representative of the entire population, which could limit the generalizability of the findings.
- 2. The scope of the study: The study might have focused only on a specific type of conversational AI, i.e., ChatGPT, and did not include other forms of conversational AI.
- 3. The survey instrument: The survey instrument used in the study might not have captured all the relevant aspects of user preferences for conversational AI.

Given these limitations, suggestions for future research include:

- 1. Expanding the sample size to include a more diverse population of users to increase the generalizability of the findings.
- 2. Including a wider range of conversational AI technologies in the study to get a more comprehensive understanding of user preferences.
- 3. Developing a more comprehensive survey instrument to capture all relevant aspects of user preferences for conversational AI.
- 4. Conducting follow-up studies to see if user preferences for conversational AI change over time with advancements in the technology.

# 7. CONCLUSION

The present study aimed to investigate the user preferences for ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces versus traditional methods. The study used a survey questionnaire to collect data from a sample of 175 participants, who were selected using sampling method. The collected data was analyzed using descriptive statistics and inferential analysis to identify trends and patterns in user preferences and to compare these findings with the literature review on the topic. The results of the study showed that a majority of participants (70%) preferred ChatGPT-powered conversational interfaces over traditional methods, citing factors such as convenience, efficiency, and personalization as key reasons for their preference. The demographic information of the participants was also analyzed. The results showed that the participants were evenly split between male (57%) and female (43%) and ranged in age from 18 to 55 years (mean = 35 years). The findings of this study have implications for the development of ChatGPT and conversational AI technologies. The results suggest that there is a strong demand for these technologies and that users are eager to adopt them in their daily lives. This highlights the need for continued research and development in this field to enhance the capabilities of ChatGPT. However, it is important to acknowledge the limitations of this study. Further research with larger and more diverse samples is necessary to validate these findings and to explore other factors that may influence user preferences for conversational interfaces.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The paper's disclosure section confirms the author's lack of any conflicts of interest.

#### Funding

The paper states that the author independently carried out the research without any financial support from institutions or sponsors.

#### Acknowledgment

The author acknowledges the institution for the intellectual resources and academic guidance that significantly enriched this research.

#### References

- [1] Ö. Aydın and E. J. A. S. Karaarslan, "OpenAI ChatGPT generated literature review: Digital twin in healthcare," 2022.
- [2] A. Tersoo Catherine, S. K. Towfek, and A. A. Abdelhamid, Trans., "An Overview of the Evolution and Impact of Chatbots in Modern Healthcare Services", MJAIH, vol. 2023, pp. 71–75, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.58496/MJAIH/2023/014.
- [3] M. Aljanabi, M. Ghazi, A. H. Ali, and S. A. Abed, "ChatGPT: Open possibilities," *Int. J. Found. Comput. Sci. Math.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 62–64, 2023.
- [4] B. Gordijn and H. t. J. M. Have, "ChatGPT: Evolution or revolution?," Health Care Philos., pp. 1–2, 2023.
- [5] Ahmed Hussein Ali, Mohammad Alajanbi, Mohanad G. Yaseen, and Saad Abbas Abed, Trans., "Chatgpt4, DALL·E, Bard, Claude, BERT: Open Possibilities", Babylonian Journal of Machine Learning, vol. 2023, pp. 17–18, Mar. 2023, doi: 10.58496/BJML/2023/003.